

Impossibility of deleting an unknown quantum state

Arun Kumar Pati and Samuel L. Braunstein

Quantum Optics and Information Group
Informatics, Dean Street, University of Wales
Bangor LL57 1UT, United Kingdom

A photon in an arbitrary polarization state cannot be cloned perfectly^{1,2}. But suppose that at our disposal we have several *copies* of an unknown photon. Is it possible to delete the information content of one or more of these photons by a physical process? Specifically, if two photons are in the same initial polarization state is there a mechanism that produces one photon in the same initial state and the other in some standard polarization state. If this can be done, then one would create a standard blank state onto which one could copy an unknown state approximately, by deterministic cloning^{3,4} or exactly, by probabilistic cloning^{5,6}. This might be useful in quantum computation, where one could store some new information in an already computed state by deleting the old information. Here we show that the linearity of quantum theory does not allow us to delete a copy of an arbitrary quantum state perfectly. Though in a classical computer information can be deleted against a copy⁷, the same task cannot be accomplished with quantum information.

Quantum information has the unique property that it cannot be amplified accurately. If one could clone an arbitrary state then using non-local resources one could send signals faster than light^{1,2}. Nevertheless, orthogonal quantum states can be perfectly copied. Though two non-orthogonal photon-states cannot be copied perfectly by a unitary process⁸ they can be copied by a unitary-reduction process⁵. More interestingly, non-orthogonal states from a linearly independent set can evolve into a linear superposition of multiple copy states by a novel cloning machine⁹. With the recent advances in quantum information theory such as quantum cryptography¹⁰, quantum teleportation^{11–14} and quantum computing¹⁵ we would like to know what we can do with the vast amount of information contained in an unknown state and what we cannot.

As is now well understood, erasing a single copy of some classical information is an irreversible operation. It may only be done with some energy cost; a result known as the *Landauer erasure principle*⁷. In quantum theory, erasure of a single unknown state may be thought of as swapping it with some standard state and then dumping it into the environment. The deletion we wish study is not the same as irreversible erasure, but is more like reversible ‘uncopying’ of an unknown quantum state. We will prove that there is no quantum deleting machine which can delete one unknown state *against* a copy in either a reversible or an irreversible manner.

Let us suppose that we have several copies of some unknown information. Classically we may delete one copy against the others, uncopied it in a perfectly reversible manner⁷. We shall see that the situation is very different in quantum theory. Such a quantum deleting machine would involve two initially identical qubits (*e.g.*, photons of arbitrary polarization)

in some state $|\psi\rangle$ and an ancilla in some initial state $|A\rangle$, which corresponds to the ‘ready’ state of the deleting apparatus. The aim of this machine is to delete one of two copies of $|\psi\rangle$ and replace it with some standard state of a qubit $|\Sigma\rangle$. The quantum deleting operation is defined for an input $|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle$ such that the linear operator acts on the combined Hilbert space of input and ancilla. That is, it is defined by

$$|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle|A\rangle \rightarrow |\psi\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|A_\psi\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $|A_\psi\rangle$ is the final state of the ancilla, which may in general depend on the polarization of the original photon. (If we knew this process was unitary it might work like the time-reverse of cloning.) One obvious solution to this equation is to swap the second and third states. However, this reduces to the standard erasure result where the extra copies have played no role. We will therefore explicitly exclude swapping as describing quantum deleting.

Consider the action of this deleting machine (1) on a pair of horizontally or vertically polarized photons:

$$\begin{aligned} |H\rangle|H\rangle|A\rangle &\rightarrow |H\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|A_H\rangle \\ |V\rangle|V\rangle|A\rangle &\rightarrow |V\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|A_V\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We note that the transformation defining our deleting machine (1) does not completely specify its action when the input states are non-identical. This is in contrast to the Wootters-Zurek cloning transformation¹ whose definition specifies its action for all possible inputs. Because of this the transformation corresponding to our machine is *not* the time-reverse of cloning. In fact, transformation (1) defines a whole class of possible deleting machines which could behave differently if the two inputs are unequal or even entangled, *e.g.*,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|H\rangle|V\rangle + |V\rangle|H\rangle)|A\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where $|\Phi\rangle$ might be *any* state of the combined input-ancilla system.

Now for an arbitrary input qubit $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|H\rangle + \beta|V\rangle$ (where α and β are *unknown* complex numbers with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$), linearity and the transformations (2) and (3) show that the deleting machine yields

$$\begin{aligned} &|\psi\rangle|\psi\rangle|A\rangle \\ &= [\alpha^2|H\rangle|H\rangle + \beta^2|V\rangle|V\rangle + \alpha\beta(|H\rangle|V\rangle + |V\rangle|H\rangle)]|A\rangle \\ &\rightarrow \alpha^2|H\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|A_H\rangle + \beta^2|V\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|A_V\rangle + \sqrt{2}\alpha\beta|\Phi\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

which is a quadratic polynomial in α and β . However, if (1) is to hold, (4) must reduce to

$$(\alpha|H\rangle + \beta|V\rangle)|\Sigma\rangle|A_\psi\rangle, \quad (5)$$

for all α and β . Since $|\Phi\rangle$ is independent of α and β then $|A_\psi\rangle$ must be linear in them with the only solutions being $|\Phi\rangle = (|H\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|A_V\rangle + |V\rangle|\Sigma\rangle|A_H\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|A_\psi\rangle = \alpha|A_H\rangle + \beta|A_V\rangle$. Further, since the final state (5) must be normalized for all possible α and β , it follows that the ancilla states $|A_H\rangle$ and $|A_V\rangle$ are orthogonal. However, as discussed above, (1) is therefore not uncopying at all, but merely swapping onto a two-dimensional subspace of the ancilla.

It appears that there is no option but to move the information around without deleting it. That is, the linearity of quantum theory forbids deleting one unknown state against a copy. This we call the “*quantum no-deleting*” principle. This principle is complementary in spirit to the no-cloning principle, and is expected to play a fundamental role in our future understanding of quantum information theory.

We emphasize that copying and deleting of information in a classical computer are inevitable operations whereas similar operations cannot be realised perfectly in the quantum computers. This has potential applications in information processing because it provides *intrinsic security* to quantum files in a quantum computer. No one can obliterate a copy of an unknown file from a collection of several copies in a quantum computer. In spite of the “quantum no-deleting” principle one can try to construct a universal and optimal *approximate* quantum deleting machine in analogy to optimal quantum cloning machines¹⁶. When memory in a quantum computer is scarce (at least for a finite number of qubits), approximate deleting may play an important role in its own way. Though at first glance quantum deleting may seem the reverse of quantum cloning, it is not so. One can ask is the no-deleting principle derivable from the impossibility of superluminal signaling as can no-cloning^{1,2}. Despite the distinction between these two operations there may be some link between the optimal fidelities of approximate deleting and cloning. Nevertheless, we have discovered another limitation on quantum information imposed by the linearity of quantum theory.

REFERENCES

- ¹ Wootters, W. K. & Zurek, W. H. A Single quantum cannot be cloned. *Nature* **299**, 802-803 (1982).
- ² Dieks, D. Communication by EPR devices. *Phys. Lett. A* **92**, 271-272 (1982).
- ³ Bužek, V. & Hillery, M. H. Quantum copying: Beyond the no-cloning theorem. *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 1844-1852 (1996).
- ⁴ Bužek, V., Braunstein, S. L., Hillery, M. H., & Bruß, D. Quantum copying: A network. *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 3446-3452 (1997).
- ⁵ Duan, L. M. & Guo, G. C. A probabilistic cloning machine for replicating two non-orthogonal states. *Phys. Lett. A* **243**, 261-264 (1998).
- ⁶ Duan, L. M. & Guo, G. C. Probabilistic Cloning and Identification of linearly independent states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 4999-5002 (1998).
- ⁷ Landauer, R. Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM Journal of Research & Development* **5**, 183-191 (1961).
- ⁸ Yuen, H. P. Amplification of quantum states and noiseless photon amplifiers. *Phys. Lett. A* **113**, 405-407 (1986).
- ⁹ Pati, A. K. Quantum superposition of multiple clones and the novel cloning machine. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 2849-2852 (1999).
- ¹⁰ Bennett, C. H. & Brassard, G. The dawn of a new era for quantum cryptography: the experimental prototype is working! *SIGACT News* **20**, 78-82 (1989).
- ¹¹ Bennett, C. H. *et al.* Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895-1899 (1993).
- ¹² Boschi, D. *et al.* Experimental realization of teleporting an unknown pure quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 1121-1125 (1998).
- ¹³ Bouwmeester, D. *et al.* Experimental quantum teleportation. *Nature* **390**, 575-579 (1997).
- ¹⁴ Furusawa, A. *et al.* Unconditional quantum teleportation. *Science* **282**, 706-709 (1998).
- ¹⁵ Deutsch, D. Quantum-Theory, the Church-Turing principle and the Universal computer. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **400**, 97-117 (1985).
- ¹⁶ Gisin, N. & Massar, S. Optimal quantum cloning machines. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2153-2156 (1997).

Acknowledgements: We thank C. H. Bennett, S. Popescu, S. Bose, L. M. Duan and N. J. Cerf for useful comments.

Correspondence should be addressed to the author.
(e-mail: akpati@sees.bangor.ac.uk)

Ref: *Nature*, **404**, 164 (2000).